

Biodiverse agriculture for a changing climate



Climate change will bring enormous and unpredictable changes to agriculture which will affect global food supplies and disproportionately impact on the poor. Emissions of greenhouse gases from agriculture, largely from intensive systems, contribute significantly to global warming.

Worldwide, millions of small-scale food producers, organic growers and researchers have demonstrated that biodiverse systems of production provide opportunities for mitigating and adapting to climate change. These methods sustain and replenish the natural resources that are essential for food production.

The Climate Challenge

The impact of greenhouse gases on global climate is beyond doubt. Agriculture accounts for around 30% of all greenhouse gas emissions from human activity. Land use change, driven by industrial production methods, accounts for more than half of agricultural emissions.¹ Intensive livestock rearing and fertilizer use dominate the remainder, making agriculture responsible for around half of all methane and 60% of all nitrous oxide emissions², both of which have far greater global warming impact than carbon dioxide.³ While contributing to climate change, agricultural production will also be profoundly affected by it. Millions of farmers throughout the world will suffer, including the 370 million rural poor who live in areas highly vulnerable to climate change impacts.⁴

Climate change will multiply extreme weather events such as heavy rains, flooding, hurricanes and drought. It will gradually change seasons, altering the distribution, timing and growth of plants, animals and fish, and the spread of diseases. Sea level rise will affect the viability of coastal land.⁵ As 80% of global agricultural land is rain-fed, changing rainfall patterns and water depletion could have devastating consequences.⁶

Conventional industrial agriculture relies heavily on fossil fuel. Mineral fertilisers, for example, are energy intensive to produce and also generate 19% of all human-induced nitrous oxide emissions.⁷ Fertiliser usage is projected to rise 37% by 2030.⁸ Energy assessments reveal that conventional crop rotations generate the equivalent of nearly three times more carbon dioxide than the same crops produced using organic methods.⁹ At the same time, chemical dependant large-scale monocultures undermine biodiversity, leading to increased vulnerability to environmental change.¹⁰

By contrast, at least 1.4 billion traditional farmers, pastoralists and artisanal fishers, and many more gardeners and organic farmers, have thrived by developing biodiverse production systems that draw on and replenish natural resources. These systems depend on and sustain agricultural biodiversity, comprising seeds, livestock breeds and aquatic organisms, as well as predators, pollinators and soil micro-organisms which together improve productivity.¹¹ Characterised as agroecology, these



Practical Action/Zul

Farmers using composting techniques (shown above), botanical pest controls, legume planting, animal manure and water harvesting have raised soil quality, reduced erosion, improved nutrition and increased yields by 60 to 195%.¹²

sustainable production systems provide opportunities for mitigating and adapting to climate change.

The importance of agricultural biodiversity in production systems

Local knowledge, experience and culture shape and conserve agricultural biodiversity, defined by the Food and Agriculture Organisation of the UN (FAO) as the 'variety and variability of animals, plants and micro-organisms which are necessary to sustain key functions of the agro-ecosystem in support of food production and food security.'¹³

Research from field-scale trials and laboratory studies confirms how biodiverse agriculture conserves the environment and delivers high and dependable yields.¹⁴ Monoculture yields can appear large when measured for a particular crop per hectare, yet on mixed farms the whole farm output per year is greater, less dependant on favourable weather conditions and more sustainable in the long term.¹⁵

Mitigating climate change with biodiverse agriculture

Enhancing agricultural biodiversity has significant potential to mitigate the impacts of greenhouse gases. Reflecting on nearly three decades of research, a recent report from the US Rodale Institute concluded that implementing 'established, scientifically researched and proven' biodiversity based farming would change agriculture from a global warming contributor to an inhibitor.¹⁶

Examples of how biodiverse agriculture can mitigate climate change include:

- increasing soil biodiversity to build soil organic matter, capturing carbon
- using diverse leguminous crops to fix nitrogen in the soil, reducing the need for chemical fertilizers
- introducing perennial crops to store carbon below ground
- planting temporary vegetative cover between successive crops to reduce nitrous oxide emissions by extracting unused nitrogen.¹⁷



International Livestock Research Institute

Organic grass-fed beef requires 50% less fossil energy than conventional grain-fed systems, while organic crop production generates the equivalent of 65% less carbon dioxide than industrial methods.¹⁸

Adapting to climate change through biodiverse agriculture

Our ability to understand much of what climate change will bring in a particular location is hampered by uncertainty in climate projections. Future rainfall patterns are understood least well, presenting a significant challenge for food production.¹⁹

Where climate change impacts can be identified with certainty, targeted vulnerability reduction may form part of an adaptation strategy. This includes enhancing agricultural biodiversity both on land and in water. For example, it may be necessary to protect depleted fish populations if warming seas risk a collapse in stocks. However, dealing with uncertainty requires resilience and adaptive capacity.²⁰

Strengthening resilience

Resilience refers to the capacity to absorb or cope with the shocks and stresses of climate change. It reflects the need to sustain yields from land and sea

in the face of weather-related events and gradual temperature variations. For example, healthy biodiverse soils are resilient, retaining moisture in a drying climate; diverse ecosystems can adapt to new pests or increased pest numbers; and livelihoods can be insulated through less dependence on external inputs. Two key practices for resilience are:

- selecting and breeding locally-adapted crop varieties, animal breeds and fish species for resistance to disease or pests, and planting a range of varieties to insure against different weather conditions²¹
- using biodiverse agriculture to build soil organic matter through crop rotation, composting, green manures and cover crops, which enriches the soil for better yields, drought-resistance, and absorption of excess rainfall.²²

Building adaptive capacity

Adaptive capacity is an active process that involves the ability of individuals or communities to modify and transform practices in response to climate change. Critical elements include:

- agricultural biodiversity as an asset that enables adaptation of food species to a changing environment²³
- accessible information on short, medium and long term weather and climate projections²⁴
- self-reliance and local knowledge, with opportunities to learn, innovate and make decisions in response to climate change information.²⁵



Practical Action/Morris Keyonzo

A 21 year farm system trial has demonstrated the importance of using diversity to improve soil organic matter. The diverse fields outperformed the conventionally fertilised fields in four out of five drought years, and produced significantly better yields in severe drought conditions.²⁶

Obstacles to sustaining agricultural biodiversity

Since the 1960s there has been international recognition of the loss of agricultural biodiversity as a serious problem.²⁷ Today, while it continues to decline, its importance to climate change is also recognised. As the head of the Convention on Biological Diversity states, 'we cannot forget that biodiversity conservation is part of the solution to climate change.'²⁸

Industrial agriculture exerts a powerful influence on policy, research and agricultural strategies.²⁹ A small number of transnational corporations control the main agricultural inputs of seeds, genetically-engineered crops, pesticides and fertilizers.³⁰ The drive for profits by agribusiness, food and commodity corporations dominates agricultural research and development, investment and trade policies and so inhibits the growth of more equitable and diverse food systems. International treaties on intellectual property rights

(IPRs) protect corporate interests over seeds, breeds and biological processes, while national legislation rarely protects farmers' rights over locally-developed plant varieties, undermining the seed-saving and exchange necessary to increase agricultural biodiversity.³¹

Adaptive and resilient biodiverse agriculture requires policies and funds for innovation in knowledge-intensive rather than external input-intensive systems. In contrast, current policy and research focus is on industrial agriculture, extinguishing local knowledge and driving out diversity through the narrow selection of crops, breeds and aquatic species for short-term efficiency and profit.³²

'Two decades of observations reveal resilience to climate disasters to be closely linked to levels of farm biodiversity.'

Altieri and Koohafkan 'Enduring farms' (2008)

Recommendations

To cope with climate change and to avoid environmental collapse, the recent global review on the future of agriculture, the International Assessment of Agricultural Knowledge, Science and Technology for Development (IAASTD), found that research and policy attention should be paid to biodiverse agricultural practices. The review highlighted the need for investment and public research in low-input and organic systems, biological substitutes for agrochemicals, site-specific cultivars, local seed systems and reduced dependency on fossil fuels.³³

Globally, small-scale farmers are calling for the implementation of the 'food sovereignty' policy framework. Food sovereignty offers an alternative to globalised industrial agriculture, placing food providers and consumers, rather than corporate agribusiness, at the heart of decision making. It seeks to return control over all aspects of agricultural biodiversity to local food providers, building on their knowledge and skills to develop localised, biodiverse food production systems.³⁴

Policy, research and investment efforts supportive of agricultural biodiversity should involve:

- Implementing laws, policies and practices to promote food sovereignty and support small-scale producers and localised biodiverse food production
- Changing priorities in research and development towards practices and technologies that promote biodiverse agriculture and farmer to farmer information sharing
- Changing attitudes and philosophies of decision makers, scientists and others to support biodiverse agriculture
- Regulating the influence of corporations that dominate the agricultural input sector
- Challenging existing laws and regulatory frameworks that prevent small-scale farmers and communities from developing, saving, exchanging and selling seeds, livestock breeds and fish species.

For a full paper with supporting references visit www.practicalaction.org.uk

Practical Action is an international development agency working with poor communities to help them choose and use technology to improve their lives today and for generations to come.

PRACTICAL ACTION

The Schumacher Centre for Technology and Development
Bourton on Dunsmore
Rugby
Warwickshire, CV23 9QZ, UK

T +44 (0)1926 634400

F +44 (0)1926 634401

E practicalaction@practicalaction.org.uk

W www.practicalaction.org

Cover picture: Seed varieties to be sown by farmers in Sri Lanka. Practical Action/Zul

Practical Action Ltd

Patron HRH The Prince of Wales, KG, KT, GCB | Registered Charity No 247257 | Company Reg No 871954, England

References

1. Bruinsma, J. (ed) (2003) *World agriculture: towards 2015/2030. An FAO Perspective*, Earthscan, London 334.
Baumert, K.A., Herzog, T., Pershing, J. (2005) *Navigating the numbers. Greenhouse gas data and climate policy*, World Resources Institute, 91.
2. Baumert (2005) *ibid* 85-89.
Smith, P., D. Martino, Z. Cai, D. Gwary, H. Janzen, P. Kumar, B. McCarl, S. Ogle, F. O'Mara, C. Rice, B. Scholes, O. Sirotenko (2007) 'Agriculture' in *Climate Change 2007: Mitigation. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, B. Metz, O.R. Davidson, P.R. Bosch, R. Dave, L.A. Meyer (eds), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, s8.
3. Methane and nitrous oxide respectively carry a 'greenhouse warming potential' (GWP) 72 and 289 times that of carbon dioxide. See Table 2.12 and 2.14 in Forster, P., V. Ramaswamy, P. Artaxo, T. Bernsten, R. Betts, D.W. Fahey, J. Haywood, J. Lean, D.C. Lowe, G. Myhre, J. Nganga, R. Prinn, G. Raga, M. Schulz and R. Van Dorland (2007) 'Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and in Radiative Forcing' in *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt, M. Tignor and H.L. Miller (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
4. Altieri, M.A. (2002) *Agroecology: the science of natural resource management for poor farmers in marginal environments*, Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 1971 1–24.
5. Parry, M., O. Canziani, J. Palutikof, et al., Technical Summary, *Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability*, Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, M.L. Parry, O.F. Canziani, J.P. Palutikof, P.J. van der Linden and C.E. Hanson, Eds., (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2007), 23-78.
6. Varghese, S. *Integrated Solutions to the Water, Agriculture and Climate Crises*, Institute for Agriculture and Trade Policy, 2009.
7. Bruinsma (2003) *ibid* Table 12.1.
8. Bruinsma (2003) *ibid* 336.
9. Robertson, G.P., E.A. Paul, R.R. Harwood (2000) *Greenhouse Gases in Intensive Agriculture: Contributions of Individual Gases to the Radiative Forcing of the Atmosphere*, Science 15 September 2000: Vol. 289. no. 5486, 1922 - 1925.
10. Egziabher, T.B.G., (2002) *The Human Individual and Community in the Conservation and Sustainable Use of Biological Resources*, Darwin Lecture. Egziabher's discussion relies on: Heywood, V. H., and R. T. Watson (1995) *Global Biodiversity Assessment*, UNEP and Cambridge University Press.
11. Altieri, M.A. and C.I. Nicholls (2005) *Agroecology and the Search for a Truly Sustainable Agriculture* UNEP, Mexico.
12. FAO (2002) *Land and agriculture: From UNCED, Rio de Janeiro 1992 to WSSD, Johannesburg 2002*, FAO: Rome.
13. FAO (2004) What is agrobiodiversity? <http://www.fao.org/docrep/007/y5609e/y5609e01.htm>
14. See generally: FAO (2002) *ibid*; Altieri, (2002) *ibid*
Reganold, J.P., L.F. Elliot, Y.L. Unger (1987) *Long term effects of conventional and organic farming on soil erosion*, Nature 330(26) 370-372
Pimentel, D., P. Hepperly, J. Hanson, D. Douds, and R. Seidel (2005) *Environmental, Energetic, and Economic Comparisons of Organic and Conventional Farming Systems*, Bioscience, 55(7) 573-582

Fließbach, A., H.-R. Oberholzer, L. Gunst and P. Mäder (2006) *Soil organic matter and biological soil quality indicators after 21 years of organic and conventional farming*, Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 118:273-284

UNEP-UNCTAD Capacity-building Task Force on Trade, Environment and Development (2008) *Organic Agriculture and Food Security in Africa*, Geneva and New York.

15. Altieri (2002) *ibid*.
16. LaSalle, T.J., P. Hepperly (2008) *Regenerative Organic Farming: A Solution to Global Warming*, Rodale Institute.
17. Smith (2007) *ibid*; Reganold (1987) *ibid*.
18. Pimentel D. (2006) *Impacts of Organic Farming on the Efficiency of Energy Use in Agriculture*, An Organic Center State of Science Review
Robertson (2000) *ibid*. See also: Pimentel, D. (2005) *ibid*.
19. Ensor J. and R. Berger (2009) *Understanding Climate Change Adaptation*, Practical Action Publishing, Rugby, UK 6-11.
20. Ensor and Berger (2009) *ibid* 26-35.
21. FAO (1996) *Safeguarding fish supplies: key policy issues and measures* International Conference on the Sustainable Contribution of Fisheries to Food Security, FAO, Rome
ITDG (1996) *Livestock keepers safeguarding domestic animal diversity through their animal husbandry* Dynamic Diversity Series, Rugby.
22. Altieri, M.A. and P. Koohafkan (2008) *Enduring farms: Climate change, smallholders and traditional farming communities*, Third World Network, 20-29.
23. Swedish Society for Nature Conservation (2008) *Ecological in Ethiopia – Farming with nature increases profitability and reduces vulnerability*, Stockholm.
24. Ensor and Berger (2009) *ibid* 11-13.
25. Ensor and Berger (2009) *ibid* 18-20.
26. Lotter, D.W., R. Seidel, and W. Liebhardt (2003) *The performance of organic and conventional cropping systems in an extreme climate year*, American Journal of Alternative Agriculture 18(2) 1-9.
27. For example, Frankel, O. and E. Bennett (1970) *Genetic Resources in Plants: Their Exploration and Conservation*, FAO, Rome.
28. Ahmed Djoghlaif, Executive Secretary of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), addressing the ninth National Conference on Science, Policy and the Environment, organized by the US National Council for Science and Environment.
29. Altieri (2002) *ibid*.
30. ETC Group (2008) *Who Owns Nature? Corporate Power and the Final Frontier in the Commodification of Life* Communiqué Issue 100.
31. Tansey, G. (2009) 'Global rules, local needs' in Tansey, G. and T. Rajotte (eds) *Future control of food*, Earthscan 213.
32. De Schutter, O. (2008) *Building resilience: a human rights framework for world food and nutrition security* Report of the Special Rapporteur on the right to food. Human Rights Council Ninth Session A/HRC/9/23 paras 6-10.
33. See the summary at footnote 10 in De Schutter (2008) *ibid*, and
IAASTD (2009) *Summary for Decision Makers of the Global Report*, Island Press, Washington, DC.
34. Windfuhr, M. and J. Jonsén (2005) *Food Sovereignty: Towards democracy in localized food systems* ITDG Publishing, Rugby.